

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/767,605	RUPAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(2) J. Wendy Davis.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Applicant approved the Examiner's amendments claims 57 and 62, and the amendment to make claim 60 be drawn to a single progeny. Applicant's also requested amendment to claims 60 and 61 to replaced" the" with --said-- and to indicate that the cell of claim 59 comprises the functional genetic element.